

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 15, 18, 19 and 20-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) based on U.S. Patent No. 6,094,587 of Armanto et al. ("Armanto").

Regarding claims 1 and 20 and the Armanto reference, claims 1 and 20 have been amended to more clearly recite the subject matter which Applicant regards as his invention. Applicant respectfully contends that the preambles in claims 1 and 20 already made it clear that claim 1 is directed specifically to a mobile communication device and claim 20 is directed specifically to a mobile telephone, and that the elements following the word "comprising" in those claims are therefore necessarily included in the mobile communication or mobile telephone, respectively. However, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 20 to make that even more clear. Therefore, for the reasons submitted in Applicant's previous response filed on December 6, 2004, which reasons are incorporated herein by reference, claims 1 and 20 are patentably distinguishable from Armanto (as are the other independent claims).

Given that dependent claims 2-19 and 21-30 directly or indirectly depend on one of the above independent claims, at least for the reasons similar to those discussed above, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-30 are patentable over Armanto or other art of record.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of these amendments.

Claims 31, 36, 50, and 55 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) based on U.S. Patent No. 6,687,242 of Enzmann et al. ("Enzmann").

Claims 31 and 50 have been amended only to recite more clearly the subject matter which Applicant regards as his invention. The amendments are not necessitated by the prior art.

Claim 31 recites:

31. A machine-readable storage medium storing a browser for use in a mobile telephone configured to operate on a wireless network, the browser to enable a user of the mobile telephone to access and navigate hypermedia information from the mobile telephone, wherein the browser, when executed, performs a process comprising:

the browser receiving Caller-ID information associated within an incoming telephone call to the mobile telephone; and

the browser executing without user intervention a predetermined action based on the Caller-ID information, in response to receiving the Caller-ID information. (emphasis added)

Enzmann does not disclose or even suggest a method that allows a browser to receive Caller-ID information, or that such a process allows the browser to respond to receiving the Caller-ID information or execute a predetermined action without user intervention based on the Caller-ID information. The Examiner incorrectly contends that this limitation is disclosed in Enzmann at fig. 1; col. 1, lines 36-51, col. 2, lines 8-22, col. 4, lines 23-39, col. 4, lines 65-67, col.5, lines 4-28 (see Office Action, page 5, item 6).

Enzmann discloses a method by which a mobile handset receives Caller-ID information where the mobile handset is equipped with a browser. Enzmann fails to disclose or suggest a method where the browser receives, or has any use for Caller-ID information. An alert indicates that additional information could be available upon request. The browser merely provides users with the ability to obtain the additional information. Therefore the browser is only engaged when the user responds to the alert. In the event that the user does not engage the browser, Enzmann does not disclose or suggest a process or method for engaging the browser, without user intervention.

Hence, no further use will be made of the Caller-ID information in that case once the alert is displayed to the user.

Enzmann states, "when a telephone call reaches a subscriber's handset, the telephone network provides an indication that additional information could be provided upon request" (col. 1 lines 36-39). "This additional information may be obtained preferably by accessing a website (or alternatively from another information source). Preferably, the handset is a "smartphone" or a "browser phone"" (col. 1 lines 48-51). "The subscriber can initiate the request either while the telephone is ringing (before answering the call), during the call, while the number is displayed (if the handset can simultaneously support a voice call and an IP session), or recalling numbers of calling parties stored in the handset's memory after a call has been missed" (col. 1 line 67, col. 2 lines 1-6).

Therefore, the process in Enzmann does not disclose use of a browser that has any knowledge of the alert or the Caller-ID information which triggered the alert. The browser requires user intervention to execute a predetermined action based on the Caller-ID information, in response to receiving the Caller-ID information. As a result, there would be no motivation, based on the disclosure of Enzmann or other art of record, to provide Caller-ID information to the browser.

Thus, claim 31 is not anticipated by Enzmann, nor is it obvious based on Enzmann. Independent claim 50 recites limitations similar to those in claim 31 discussed above and other limitations. Therefore, claim 50 is also patentable over the cited art for similar reasons.

Given that dependent claims 32-49 and 51-68 directly or indirectly depend on one of the above independent claims, at least for the reasons similar to those discussed

above, it is respectfully submitted that claims 31-68 are patentable over Enzmann or other art of record.

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of these remarks and amendments.

Dependent Claims

In view of the above remarks, a specific discussion of the dependent claims is considered to be unnecessary. Therefore, Applicant's silence regarding any dependent claim is not to be interpreted as an agreement with, or acquiescence to, the rejection of such claim or as waiving any argument regarding the claim.

Conclusion


For the forgoing reasons, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly requested.

If any additional fee is required, please charge deposit account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN

Date: June 10, 2005


Jordan M. Becker
Reg. No. 39,602

Customer No. 26529
12400 Wilshire Blvd.
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(408) 720-8300